

# News Release Exercise Answer Sheet

## *Take a Chance Mining Announces Incredible Results from the Lucky Strike Gold Project*

- “Incredible results”: This is considered promotional language, especially in the tag line. (s.2.1(2) of NP 51-201)

## *Take a Chance Mining (TSX-V: BBB, OTCBB: BAD) is pleased to report results from its first drill program on the Lucky Strike Gold Project (the Property).*

- “OTCBB”: Potential red flag to the regulator – disclosure requirements may be lower than TSX-V.
- “first drill program”: What type of drilling was done, core, reverse circulation, air track? (s. 3.3(2) of NI 43-101)

## *On February 1, 2011, Take a Chance Mining (the Company) signed an option agreement to acquire an interest in the Property located in Nevada which covers a large land package in an important district.*

- “option agreement to acquire an interest”: What % interest does the Company have the right to acquire? (s.2.1(2) of NP 51-201) (It is assumed that an earlier news release already spelled out the complete terms and conditions of the agreement.)
- “covers a large land package in an important gold district”: Provide some context as the size of land package in Ha and the name of the district or region. (s.2.1(2) of NP 51-201)

## *The Property is host to several gold deposits which have reported previous drill intersections up to 3.0 metres grading 65.5 g/t gold and a resource of 550,125 oz gold.*

- “property is host to several gold deposits”: Provide some context regarding these deposits – how many, when were they discovered, were they previously mined? This would assist investors in understand the disclosure. (s.2.1(2) of NP 51-201)
- “drill intersections up to 3.0 metres grading 65.5 g/t gold”: Using the term “up to” is selective disclosure and does not provide representative results. Consider using a range of values or the average. (s.2.1(2) of NP 51-201)
- “resource of 550,125 oz gold”: Is this a historical estimate? If so, it must be reported in compliance with s.2.4 of NI 43-101 including the source, date, relevance and reliability, known assumptions, etc. Is it a current estimate? If so, what are the resource categories, tonnes and grade, and reporting code, etc. and is it supported by a current technical report?

## *Based on the current gold price, the gross metal value of this resource is at least US\$880 million. The Company believes the Property has the potential for 2 million oz gold.*

- “gross metal value of this gold resource is at least US\$880 million”: Reporting gross metal value numbers is prohibited. (s.2.3(c) of NI 43-101).
- “potential for more than 2 million oz of gold”: This appears to be an exploration target which must be reported in compliance with s.2.3(2) of NI 43-101 including the range of tonnes and grade, a reasonable basis for exploration target, and the cautionary language.

*The four hole drill program completed in January 2012 returned very encouraging results.*

- “completed in January 2012”: Drilling was completed 8 months before the news release. Why the delay? There is a question about timely disclosure of results (s. 2.1(1) of NP 51-201)

*The Company’s president, Joe Hopeful, states “these intersections provide proof that our Company has a world-class project with the potential to be very profitable.*

- “world-class project”: This is considered promotional language that if true, needs to be supported by factual comparisons to actual world-class projects. (s.2.1(2) of NP 51-201)
- “potential to be very profitable”: This language implies potential economic viability. It assumes that the Company has done at least a PEA on at least inferred mineral resources (s.4.2(1)(j))

*Highlights from the recent drilling include the following results:*

<i>Hole</i>	<i>Interval (m)</i>	<i>Gold (g/t)</i>
<i>DD01</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>48.8</i>
<i>DD04</i>	<i>8.0</i>	<i>10.3</i>

- There are lots of problems with the table provided:
  - Only holes DD01 and DD04 are reported, this was a four hole program, so what about holes DD02 and DD03? This is considered unbalanced disclosure. (s. 2.1(2) of NP 51-201)
  - What is the location, azimuth, and dip of each of the drill holes? (s.3.3(2)(b) of NI 43-101)
  - What is the depth of each of the sample intervals? (s. 3.3(2)(b) of NI 43-101)
  - Are these intervals true width? If not, state true width is unknown. (s. 3.3(2)(c) of NI 43-101)
  - The 8.0m interval in hole DD04 is likely made up of one or more high-grade intersections which need to be reported separately. (s.3.3(2)(d) of NI 43-101)

*Samples from the drilling program were sent to the local assay laboratory for analysis.*

- “sent to the local assay laboratory”: Include a description of the assay procedures, sample size, and the name, location and relationship of the lab to the Company. (s.3.3(2)(f) of NI 43-101)

*The quality control procedure has been to industry standards.*

- “procedure has been to industry standards”: Describe the QA/QC procedure, don’t just say done to industry standard – what does this really mean? (s.3.3(1)(c) of NI 43-101)

*We knew based on the visual estimates of 20-30 g/t gold that we had to move very aggressively to drill test the main targets on the Property.*

- “visual estimates of 20-30 g/t gold”: Under TSX Disclosure Standards (Appendix B), reporting visual estimates of grade should be avoided and may be misleading under securities regulation.

*This news release was prepared and reviewed by Joe Hopeful, President and CEO of the Company.*

- “Prepared and reviewed by Joe Hopeful”: Is Joe Hopeful a QP as defined in NI 43-101? All written disclosure of technical information must provide the name and relationship of the QP to the Company. (s.3.1 of NI 43-101)