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## Motivation

- Concern that trailers are harming investors, much policy discussion and debate, but no evidence from any country
- UK (2006), Continental Europe (2007), and Australia (2010):
) Banned Embedded Commissions
- Fiduciary Duty / Qualified Best Interest Rules
- US: DOL Proposal in 2015: Client's best interest first
- Issue of mutual fund fees has taken center stage
- Estimated total 12b-1 fees in the US (2009): $\$ 9.5$ billion
- $0.25 \%$ average. $1 \%$ maximum (WSJ)
- Estimated total trailer fees in Canada (2009): $\$ 6$ billion
- $0.58 \%$ average, $1.5 \%$ maximum (our dataset)
- Roughly \$6.5 billion in 2014


## Research Questions

- Does past risk-adjusted performance ("alpha") affect future fund flows?
- Is this flow-performance relation affected by the structure of fund fees?
- Does the flow-performance relation affect future alphas?
- Incentive to generate future performance?




## Some Prior Research

- Mutual fund fees are high in Canada relative to the US and other countries
- Ruckman, 2003 CJE, Khorna, Servaes, and Tufano, 2008 RFS.
- Mutual fund flows may be affected by performance and fees
- Del Guercio and Tkac, 2002 JFQA.
- Mutual fund performance depends on the incentive to generate alpha
- Del Guercio and Reuter, 2014 JF.


## New Contributions

- Specific fund details on flows by FundSERV Code
- Not publicly available
- Specific fund details on purchase options
- Fee Based
- Deferred Sales Charge (including low load)
- Front End
- No load
- Specific fund details on fee structures
- Trailer fees
- Deferred sales charges
- Commissions
- Management fees
- Trading expenses
- Other fees
- The data enable a precise look and ability to dissect the relations between fees, flows, and performance


## Data

- 2003-2014
- 43 fund families ( $38.1 \%$ of the market)
- $\$ 746$ billion of AUM for direct managed funds ( $66.7 \%$ of market)
- $\$ 152$ billion in AUM for fund-of-funds (48.6\% of market)
- 18,102 stand-alone funds FundSERV codes
- 4,018 fund-of-funds FundSERV codes
- >1 million monthly FundSERV code observations
- Four main groups:
stand-alone funds that cannot be purchased directly from fund companies,
stand-alone funds that can be purchased directly,
fund-of-funds that cannot be purchased directly, and
fund-of-funds that can be purchased directly.

|  | Stand-alone funds |  |  |  | Fund of Funds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Obs. | Mean | Median | Std. Dev. | Obs. | Mean | Median | Std. Dev. |
| Fund Flow and Performance Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flows Net of PAC, SWP, Switches, Reinvestments, Distributions, and Affiliated Dealer and Affiliated Investment Funds | 1102377 | -0.0187 | o | 0.0864 | 294232 | -0.0630 | -0.0035 | 0.1349 |
| All Inflows - All Outflows | 1102377 | -0.005 | -0.0002 | 0.0799 | 294232 | -0.0273 | -0.0014 | 0.1108 |
| PAC Inflows - SWP Outflows | 1102377 | 0.0003 | o | 0.001 | 294232 | 0.0002 | o | 0.0008 |
| Switches In - Switches Out | 1102377 | 0.0022 | o | 0.0159 | 294232 | 0.0037 | o | 0.0181 |
| Reinvested Distributions Paid Distributions | 1102377 | -0.0002 | o | 0.0013 | 294232 | 0.0012 | o | 0.0033 |
| Affiliated Dealer Inflows Outflows | 1102377 | 0.0018 | o | 0.0069 | 294232 | 0.0029 | 0.0001 | 0.0092 |
| Affiliated Investment Funds Inflows - Outflows | 1102377 | 0.0057 | o | 0.0398 | 294232 | 0.0159 | o | 0.0609 |
| Alpha | 1010575 | 0.2502 | 0.2492 | 0.7433 | 264199 | 0.1321 | 0.001 | 0.5666 |


|  | Stand-alone funds |  |  |  | Fund of Funds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Obs. | Mean | Median | Std. Dev. | Obs. | Mean | Median | Std. Dev. |
| Purchase Option Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase Option Deferred Sales Charge | 1209285 | 0.4579 | 0 | 0.4975 | 308336 | 0.3690 | 0 | 0.4567 |
| Purchase Option Front End | 1209285 | 0.3956 | 0 | 0.4874 | 308336 | 0.2501 | 0 | 0.4007 |
| Purchase Option Fee Based | 1209285 | 0.0835 | 0 | 0.2743 | 308336 | 0.2288 | 0 | 0.3873 |
| Purchase Option No Load | 1209285 | 0.0629 | 0 | 0.2408 | 308336 | 0.1521 | 0 | 0.3275 |
| Minimum Purchase Amount | 1209285 | 78970 | 500 | 460110.84 | 308336 | 10335 | 500 | 130544.94 |
| Fund Expense Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Sales Charge Year 1 | 1209285 | 4.7928 | $5 \cdot 5$ | 1.5246 | 308336 | 4.2111 | 5.5 | 2.0585 |
| Deferred Sales Charge Slope | 868685 | -0.186 | -0.1831 | 0.0486 | 299778 | -0.1488 | -0.2031 | 0.0939 |
| Sales Commission for  <br> Deferred Sales Charge  | 1209285 | 1.6704 | 0 | 2.1481 | 308336 | 0.4838 | 0 | 1.3345 |
| Maximum Front End <br> Commission | 1209285 | 1.7338 | 0 | 2.3883 | 308336 | 0.9815 | 0 | 2.0542 |
| Front End Commissions Paid | 1102377 | 0.0196 | 0 | 0.0871 | 294232 | 0.1065 | 0.0037 | 0.176 |
| Maximum Posted Switch Fee | 1209285 | 1.9964 | 2 | 0.083 | 308336 | 1.6108 | 2 | 0.7918 |
| Performance Fee | 1209285 | 0.0845 | 0 | 0.561 | 308336 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Negotiated Management Fees Paid | 1102377 | 0.0244 | 0 | 0.0909 | 294232 | 0.0861 | 0 | 0.1655 |
| Management Expense Ratio | 1110152 | 2.0736 | 2.1604 | 0.6552 | 251669 | 1.9686 | 2.0258 | 0.5988 |
| Trading Expense Ratio | 1209285 | 1.3137 | 0.2467 | 2.3835 | 308336 | 0.6946 | 0.25 | 1.6239 |
| Maximum Posted Initial Trailer | 1110152 | 0.5823 | 0.5 | 0.3628 | 251669 | 0.689 | 0.75 | 0.3302 |
| Trailer Slope | 1074741 | 0.0664 | 0 | 0.1644 | 251360 | -0.049 | 0 | 0.3601 |
| Other Payments to DealerBrokers | 1102377 | 0 | 0 | 0.0001 | 294232 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Regression Models

- Full Sample (random effects)
- Flow $_{\mathrm{t}+1}=$ Constant $+\beta_{1}{ }^{*}$ Alpha $_{\mathrm{t}}+\beta_{2}{ }^{*}$ Alpha $^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{t}}+\beta_{3}{ }^{*}$ Purchase Option Dummy $+\beta_{4}^{*}$ Purchase Option Dummy * Alpha + residuals
- Excluding "Fee Based" (fixed effects)
- Flow $_{\mathrm{t}+1}=$ Constant $+\beta_{1}^{*}$ Alpha $_{t}+\beta_{2}{ }^{*}$ Alpha $^{2}+\beta_{3}{ }^{*}$ MER $_{t}+\beta_{4}{ }^{*}$ MER $_{t}{ }^{*}$ Alpha ${ }_{t}+\beta_{5}{ }^{*}$ Alpha $^{2}{ }^{*}$ MER $_{t}+\beta_{6}{ }^{*}$ Trailer Fee ${ }_{t}+\beta_{7}^{*}$ Trailer Feee ${ }_{t}^{*}$ Alpha $_{t}+\beta_{8}^{5}$ Alpha $^{2} *$ Trailer Fee $_{t}+\beta_{9}{ }^{*}$ Other Types of Fee ${ }_{t}+\beta_{10} *$ Other Types of Fee ${ }_{t}^{*}$ Alpha $_{\mathrm{t}}+\beta_{11}{ }^{*}$ controls + residuals
- Fixed effects by year and FundServ Code
- Note: Appendices IV and V use Fund Return - Market Return and Sharpe Ratio, respectively, and results pertaining to fees are the same.


## Results

## (12)

- In general, funds with higher alpha are rewarded with higher future flows.
- For example, all other things being equal, a fund ranked at the bottom quartile ( $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile) of all funds for risk adjusted performance loses $0.02 \%$ of its AUM in the next month, while a fund performing at the median gains $0.08 \%$ of new AUM in the next month, and a top quartile fund receives $0.21 \%$ of new AUM in the next month.
- Affiliated broker-dealer flows show a less robust relation between past alpha and future flows, and this relation is often statistically insignificant.


## Results (Continued)

- Fee-based purchase options exhibit significantly higher flow-performance slope on average relative to other purchase options.
- A fund that moves from the top quartile to the bottom performance quartile, for example, loses:
. $0.32 \%$ of flow relative to AUM under fee based purchase options,
. $0.26 \%$ under front end purchase options,
٪ $0.19 \%$ under no load purchase options, and
* $0.13 \%$ under deferred sales charge purchase options, controlling for other things being equal.

|  | Series Cannot be Purchased Directly from the fund company (Models 1-5) |  |  |  |  | Series Can be Purchased Directly from the fund company (Models 6-7) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 | Model 6 | Model 7 |
| Alpha Lagged | 0.00148*** | 0.00103*** | 0.00107*** | 0.00119*** | 0.00250*** | 0.00370*** | 0.00354*** |
|  | (14.66) | (10.66) | (13.80) | (15.49) | (10.80) | (12.36) | (7.68) |
| Alpha Lagged ^2 | -0.0000172 | -0.0000109 | -0.0000152 | -0.00000403 | -0.00000486 | 0.000685 ${ }^{* * *}$ | $0.000608^{* * *}$ |
|  | (-0.43) | (-0.27) | (-0.38) | (-0.10) | (-0.12) | (4.17) | (3.70) |
| Purchase Option Deferred Sales Charge | -0.00355 ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  | -0.00411** |  | 0.106*** |
| Alpha Lagged * Purchase Option Deferred Sales Charge | (-3.42) |  |  |  | (-2.41) |  | (20.46) |
|  | -0.000719*** |  |  |  | -0.00174*** |  | 0.000873 |
|  | (-4.86) |  |  |  | (-6.82) |  | (1.27) |
| Purchase Option Front End |  | 0.00114 |  |  | -0.00144 |  | $0.105^{* * *}$ |
| Alpha Lagged * Purchase <br> Option Front End |  | (1.07) |  |  | (-o.83) |  | (17.57) |
|  |  | 0.000309** |  |  | -0.00116*** |  | 0.00156** |
|  |  | (2.05) |  |  | (-4.50) |  | (2.28) |
| Purchase Option Fee Based |  |  | -0.00178 |  |  | 0.0681*** |  |
| Alpha Lagged * Purchase Option Fee Based |  |  | (-0.96) |  |  | (11.77) |  |
|  |  |  | $0.00143^{* * *}$ |  |  | 0.00127* |  |
|  |  |  | (4.60) |  |  | (1.65) |  |
| Purchase Option No Load |  |  |  | $0.00629^{* * *}$ | 0.00363 |  | 0.0968*** |
|  |  |  |  | (2.91) | (1.39) |  | (17.54) |
| Alpha Lagged * PurchaseOption No Load |  |  |  | -0.000825** | -0.00214*** |  | -0.00341*** |
|  |  |  |  | (-2.27) | (-5.05) |  | (-3.50) |
| Constant | -0.00362*** | -0.00562*** | -0.00504*** | -0.00555*** | -0.00301* | -0.0669*** | -0.107*** |
|  | (-5.08) | (-8.05) | (-8.88) | (-9.80) | (-1.93) | (-28.45) | (-38.63) |
| Number of Observations | 802078 | 802078 | 802078 | 802078 | 802078 | 164078 | 164078 |
| Number of Groups | 14357 | 14357 | 14357 | 14357 | 14357 | 2407 | 2407 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ within | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0004 | 0.0015 | 0.0015 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ between | 0.0013 | 0.0006 | 0.0009 | 0.0006 | 0.0014 | 0.0562 | 0.2343 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ overall | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0004 | 0.0003 | 0.0001 | 0.048 | 0.1385 |
| Wald Chi ${ }^{2}$ | $280.55^{* * *}$ | $249.26^{* * *}$ | $265.36^{* * *}$ | $256.44^{* * *}$ | 316.29 *** | $398.55^{* * *}$ | $992.81 * * *$ |



## Subset of Funds Excluding Fee-Based

- A $1.5 \%$ increase in trailer fees reduces flow-performance slope by such that for any given improvement in performance, net capital inflows are 65\% less than what they would other have been and likewise for any given reduction in performance, net capital outflows are $65 \%$ less than what they would have been in the absence of a trailer fee.

```
- Example: AT A 1.5\% TRAILER, IF A FUND MOVES FROM TOP QUARTILE PERFORMANCE TO BOTTOM QUARTILE PERFORMANCE THEN THE FUND LOSES ROUGHLY o.o8\% OF ITS ASSETS. FOR A \$100M FUND, THIS CORRESPONDS TO A LOSS OF \(\$ 80,000\) IN THE MONTH OF THE PERFORMANCE DROP. BUT THE FUND WOULD HAVE LOST \(\$ 260,000\) IN THE MONTH OF THE PERFORMANCE DROP IN THE ABSENCE OF THE TRAILER.
```

- Also, trailer fees increase new flows regardless of past performance. For example, a $1.5 \%$ trailer fee increases the average monthly flows by $0.45 \%$ of AUM each month regardless of past performance.
- Example: AT A 1.5\% TRAILER: $\$ 450,000$ EXTRA PER MONTH REGARDLESS OF PERFORMANCE

|  | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 | Model 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alpha Lagged | 0.00563** | 0.00371 | 0.00402* | 0.00568** | 0.00376 | 0.00420* |
|  | (2.48) | (1.62) | (1.74) | (2.50) | (1.64) | (1.81) |
| Management Expense Ratio (MER) \% | 0.000836** | 0.000820** | 0.000710* | $0.00104^{* * *}$ | 0.00102*** | 0.000905** |
|  | (2.27) | (2.23) | (1.91) | (2.80) | (2.75) | (2.42) |
| Alpha Lagged * Management Expense Ratio | -0.000441*** | -0.000394** | -0.000425** | -0.000253 | -0.000211 | -0.000235 |
|  | (-2.67) | (-2.39) | (-2.54) | (-1.48) | (-1.24) | (-1.36) |
| Trading Expense Ratio (TER) \% | 0.0000772** | 0.000073 ${ }^{* *}$ | 0.0000747** | 0.0000756** | 0.0000876** | 0.0000885** |
|  | (2.15) | (2.05) | (2.08) | (2.10) | (2.37) | (2.40) |
| Alpha Lagged * Trading Expense Ratio | -0.00000204 | 0.00000107 | 0.000000533 | -0.000000124 | 0.0000243 | 0.0000229 |
|  | (-0.06) | (0.03) | (0.02) | (-0.00) | (0.70) | (0.66) |
| Maximum Posted Initial Trailer Fee (\%) | 0.00385** | 0.00354** | 0.00368** | 0.00329* | 0.00305* | 0.00296* |
|  | (2.24) | (2.06) | (2.14) | (1.91) | (1.77) | (1.68) |
| Alpha Lagged *Maximum Posted Initial Trailer Fee | -0.00206*** | -0.00166*** | $-0.00184^{* * *}$ | -0.00220*** | -0.00179*** | -0.00208*** |
|  | (-6.59) | (-5.19) | (-5.37) | (-7.04) | (-5.57) | (-5.65) |
| Trailer Slope | $0.00972^{* * *}$ | 0.00959*** | 0.00970*** | 0.00977*** | 0.00968*** | 0.00977*** |
|  | (6.57) | (6.49) | (6.54) | (6.61) | (6.54) | (6.58) |
| Alpha Lagged * Trailer Slope | $0.00246^{* * *}$ | 0.00235*** | $0.00238^{* * *}$ | 0.00237*** | 0.00226*** | 0.00227*** |
|  | (3.45) | (3.29) | (3.13) | (3.32) | (3.16) | (2.99) |
| Deferred Sales Charge Year 1 (\%) | -0.00904 | -0.0107 | 0.000291 | -0.00852 | -0.0101 | 0.000759 |
|  | (-0.93) | (-1.10) | (0.02) | (-o.88) | (-1.04) | (0.06) |
| Alpha Lagged *Deferred Sales Charge Year 1 | -0.00115*** | -0.00100*** | -0.000993*** | -0.00115*** | -0.00101*** | -0.001000*** |
|  | (-7.00) | (-6.03) | (-5.66) | (-7.04) | (-6.06) | (-5.70) |
| Deferred Sales Charge Slope | -0.188*** | -0.188*** | -0.191*** | -0.188*** | -0.188*** | -0.191*** |
|  | (-12.35) | (-12.32) | (-12.36) | (-12.35) | (-12.32) | (-12.36) |
| Alpha Lagged *Deferred Sales Charge Slope | 0.00329 | 0.00436 | 0.00429 | 0.00382 | 0.00503* | 0.00495* |
|  | (1.20) | (1.58) | (1.54) | (1.39) | (1.82) | (1.77) |
| Front End Commission Paid for DSC purchases (\%) | -0.000487 | -0.000469 | -0.000366 | -0.000572 | -0.000547 | -0.000474 |
|  | (-0.75) | (-0.72) | (-0.56) | (-0.88) | (-0.84) | (-0.72) |
| Alpha Lagged *Sales Commission Paid for DSC | -0.000378*** | -0.000332*** | -0.000302*** | -0.000390*** | -0.000342*** | -0.000308*** |
|  | (-7.37) | (-6.38) | (-5.11) | (-7.58) | (-6.57) | (-5.21) |
| Maximum Front End Commission (\%) |  |  | 0.00196 |  |  | 0.00193 |
|  |  |  | (1.29) |  |  | (1.27) |
| Alpha Lagged * Maximum Front End Commission |  |  | 0.0000610 |  |  | 0.0000722 |
|  |  |  | (1.13) |  |  | (1.33) |
| Maximum Posted Switch Fee (\%) | -0.00129 | -0.000615 | -0.000652 | -0.00121 | -0.000487 | -0.000475 |
|  | (-0.06) | (-0.03) | (-0.03) | (-0.06) | (-0.02) | (-0.02) |


|  | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 | Model 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alpha Lagged * Maximum Posted Switch Fee | $0.00236^{* *}$ | 0.00277** | 0.00258** | 0.00230** | 0.00269** | 0.00246** |
|  | (2.04) | (2.38) | (2.20) | (1.98) | (2.32) | (2.10) |
| Other payment to Dealer and Broker (\%) | -29.24*** | 7.890* | 7.599 | -29.23*** | 7.860* | 7.570 |
|  | (-6.47) | (1.66) | (1.60) | (-6.46) | (1.65) | (1.59) |
| Alpha Lagged*Other payment to Dealer and Broker | 9.014*** | 2.580 | 2.397 | 8.962*** | 2.559 | 2.394 |
|  | (2.60) | (0.71) | (0.66) | (2.59) | (0.71) | (0.66) |
| Front End Commission Paid (\%) | -0.0377*** | -0.0376*** | -0.0376*** | -0.0377*** | -0.0376*** | -0.0376*** |
|  | (-33.33) | (-33.26) | (-33.23) | (-33.34) | (-33.27) | (-33.24) |
| Alpha Lagged * Front End Commission Paid | -0.00162* | -0.00148* | -0.00157* | -0.00155* | -0.00139* | -0.00149* |
|  | (-1.95) | (-1.78) | (-1.88) | (-1.87) | (-1.68) | (-1.79) |
| Performance Fee (\%) |  |  | 0.00637 |  |  | 0.00637 |
|  |  |  | (0.93) |  |  | (0.93) |
| Alpha Lagged*Performance Fee |  |  | 0.0000940 |  |  | 0.000107 |
|  |  |  | (0.40) |  |  | (0.46) |
| Negotiated Management Fee (\%) |  | -0.0394*** | -0.0391*** |  | -0.0394*** | -0.0391*** |
|  |  | (-25.16) | (-24.90) |  | (-25.16) | (-24.90) |
| Alpha Lagged * Negotiated Management Fee |  | $0.00638^{* * *}$ | 0.00659*** |  | 0.00641*** | 0.00662*** |
|  |  | (5.40) | (5.51) |  | (5.43) | (5.53) |
| Minimum Purchase Amount (\$) |  |  | 5.94e-10*** |  |  | 5.89e-10** |
|  |  |  | (2.59) |  |  | (2.57) |
| Alpha Lagged * Minimum Purchase Amount |  |  | -1.30e-10 |  |  | -1.22e-10 |
|  |  |  | (-0.87) |  |  | (-0.81) |
| Alpha Lagged^^2 |  |  |  | 0.000458*** | $0.000487^{* * *}$ | 0.000415*** |
|  |  |  |  | (3.97) | (4.17) | (2.65) |
| Alpha Lagged^2 ${ }^{\text {* }}$ Management Expense Ratio |  |  |  | -0.000321*** | -0.000314*** | -0.000308*** |
|  |  |  |  | (-4.72) | (-4.62) | (-4.44) |
| Alpha Lagged^2 * Trading Expense Ratio |  |  |  |  | -0.0000269* | -0.0000267* |
|  |  |  |  |  | (-1.86) | (-1.84) |
| Alpha Lagged ${ }^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$ *Maximum Posted Initial Trailer Fee |  |  |  |  |  | 0.000108 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.75) |
| Constant | 0.0121 | 0.0206 | -0.0458 | 0.00928 | 0.0171 | -0.0483 |
|  | (0.18) | (0.31) | (-0.55) | (0.14) | (0.26) | (-0.58) |
| Number of Observations | 508121 | 508121 | 508121 | 508121 | 508121 | 508121 |
| Number of Groups | 9595 | 9595 | 9595 | 9595 | 9595 | 9595 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ within | 0.0034 | 0.0047 | 0.0047 | 0.0035 | 0.0047 | 0.0047 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ between | 0.0138 | 0.016 | 0.0072 | 0.0134 | 0.0157 | 0.0069 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ overall | 0.0067 | 0.0093 | 0.006 | 0.0065 | 0.009 | 0.0058 |
| F | 81.14*** | $101.7{ }^{* * *}$ | $81.05 * * *$ | $75.09^{* * *}$ | $90.98^{* * *}$ | $72.04^{* * *}$ |




## Subsequent Performance

- For the full sample of all funds, the data indicate that $2.5 \%$ of funds permanently increased their trailer fees in the sample period (from an average of $0.39 \%$ to $0.78 \%$ ). Comparison tests of the alphas for these funds from the 6 -month prior fee change period to the 24 month post fee change period show alpha dropped by $32.4 \%$ on average.
- For the full sample of all funds, the data indicate that $0.6 \%$ of funds permanently decreased their trailer fees in the sample period (from an average of $0.42 \%$ to $0.27 \%$ ). Comparison tests of the alphas for these funds from the 6 -month prior fee change period to the 24 month post fee change period show alpha increased by $88.4 \%$ on average.




## Regressions on Future Alpha

- Regression analyses comparing across funds and over time indicate that funds for which flows are more sensitive to past performance have better risk adjusted performance on average.
- An increase in trailer fees and deferred sales charges by $1 \%$ is indirectly associated with a reduction in future alpha by 1.4\% and $0.6 \%$ relative to the average monthly alpha, respectively, due to the effects on the flow-performance intercept and slope.
Pand



Category 1: direct managed funds, series cannot be purchased directly from fund manager.


Category 2: direct managed funds, series can be purchased directly from fund manager.

[^0]

## Regressions on Future Alpha

- Regression analyses comparing across funds and over time indicate that funds which enjoy higher levels of affiliated broker-dealer flows experience lower future alpha on average.
- Relative to a fund that does not enjoy affiliated broker-dealer flows, an indirect purchase stand-alone fund that is at the top quartile of broker-deal flows on average experiences a reduction in future monthly alpha by $0.2 \%$ on average.
- The data indicate similar findings for stand-alone funds that can be purchased directly, and fund-of-funds that can and cannot be purchased directly, but some differences in these effects at different points in time.


## Panel A: Stand-Alone Funds

|  | Cannot be Purchased Directly (Model 1-3) |  |  | Can be Purchased Directly (Model 4-6) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 | Model 6 |
| Flow Intercept | -0.345*** |  | -0.196*** | $1.337^{* * *}$ |  | $1.672^{* * *}$ |
|  | (-6.10) |  | (-2.83) | (9.79) |  | (7.88) |
| Affiliated Dealer Inflows Outflows | $-1.082^{* * *}$ | $-0.845^{* * *}$ | $-0.881^{* * *}$ | $-0.529^{* * *}$ | $-0.423^{* * *}$ | -0.423 ${ }^{* * *}$ |
|  | (-5.41) | (-3.64) | (-3.79) | (-7.75) | (-5.30) | $(-5.31)$ |
| Flow Slope |  | 5.969*** | 5.590*** |  | 1.562*** | 0.169* |
|  |  | (6.62) | (6.13) |  | (7.62) | (1.82) |
| Constant | $0.266^{* * *}$ | $0.258^{* * *}$ | 0.265*** | $0.0985^{* * *}$ | $0.0438^{* * *}$ | $0.106^{* * *}$ |
|  | (124.24) | (171.34) | (93.02) | (23.41) | (29.48) | (13.23) |
| Observations | 492088 | 412300 | 412300 | 161058 | 133250 | 133250 |
| Number of Groups | 9192 | 8229 | 8229 | 2377 | 2067 | 2067 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ within | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0009 | 0.0006 | 0.0011 |
| $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ between | 0.0007 | 0.0023 | 0.0019 | 0.0043 | 0.0025 | 0.0032 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ overall | 0.0005 | 0.0006 | 0.0007 | 0.005 | 0.0045 | 0.0032 |
| F | 31.17 | 28.84 | 21.91 | 75.21 | 41.85 | 48.60 |



## Conclusions

- Future fund flows depend on past alpha
- Exception: affiliated broker-dealer flows
- Some fee structures mitigate the sensitivity of flows to past performance
- Trailer fees and DSC
- Flow without performance mitigates incentives to generate future alpha
- Trailer fees, DSC, affiliated broker-dealer flows


## Questions?

- Thanks very much for your interest!

Douglas Cumming
Professor and Ontario Research Chair York University Schulich School of Business
dcumming@schulich.yorku.ca


[^0]:    Category 4: fund of funds, series cann be purchased directly from fund manager

